

# UNITED STAT. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

08/930,702

02/13/98

WETZEL

Т

CPW50075/US

HM12/0216

PATENT & TRADEMARK ADMINISTRATOR
ICI AMERICAS INCORPORATED LAW DEPARTMENT
CONCORD PLAZA
3411 SILVERSIDE ROAD PO BOX 15391
WILMINGTON DE 19850

SEIDLECK, B

ARTUNIT PAPER NUMBER

1615

12

DATE MAILED:

02/16/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



## Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/930,702

Applicant(s)

Wetzel et al.

Examiner

Brian K. Seidleck

Group Art Unit 1615



| X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 29, 1999   | ·  |
|--|--|
| XI This action is FINAL.   |  |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo<br>in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193   |  |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set t is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensi 37 CFR 1.136(a). | to respond within the period for response will cause the |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |
|  | is/are pending in the application.                       |
| Of the above, claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                     |
| Claim(s)   | is/are allowed.  |
|  | is/are rejected.   |
| Claim(s)   | is/are objected to.                                      |
| ☐ Claims   | are subject to restriction or election requirement.      |
| Application Papers   |  |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin  | ng Review, PTO-948.                                      |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec   | ted to by the Examiner.                                  |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on  |  |
| $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  |  |
| $\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119   |  |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).                            |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of  | of the priority documents have been                      |
| received.  |  |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu   | mber)  |
| $\square$ received in this national stage application from the   | : International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).               |
| *Certified copies not received:  |  |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priori   | ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).                            |
| Attachment(s)  |  |
| □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892  |  |
| ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N   | lo(s)  |
| <ul><li>☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413</li><li>☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9-9-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-</li></ul>   | 48   |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152   | <del></del>  |
| _ Notice of informary atom Application, 1 10 102   |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION ON   | THE FOLLOWING PAGES                                      |

Weitzel et al.

### Papers Received

1. Receipt is acknowledged of applicants' Amendment and Response filed on 11/29/1999.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicants' arguments filed 11/29/1999 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner maintains the following rejection(s):
- 3. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 4. Claim 26 recites the limitation "surfactant dispersion" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 29 recites the limitation "surfactant" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The term "very high" in claim 31 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "very high" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Page 2 Art Unit: 1615

Serial Number: 08/930,702 Weitzel et al.

6. Claims 35-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hague et al (5,543,074, hereinafter "Hague"). The examiner maintains the 102 rejection for the above claims and withdraws the rejection for claims 24-34.

Hague teaches a composition suitable for cleansing and conditioning of the skin or hair. See Col. 2, lines 21-24. As to the ingredients, Hague recites a isethionate surfactant (Col. 4, lines 66-67); sulphosuccinate (Col. 5, lines 12-17); fatty alcohol (Col. 3, lines 45-47); zantham gum (Col. 4, lines 45-49); and silicone oils (Col. 3, lines 38-40). The surfactant component is present in an amount of 2 to 40% and the balance of water for the dispersion overlaps that of the present invention. See Col. 8, lines 15-40. The dispersion is formed by adding the surfactant composition to water at 50°C. Id.

7. Claims 24-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hague et al (5,543,074, hereinafter "Hague"); or Unilever (EP 0 485 212 A1). The examiner no longer relies on Patterson (US 5,248,495) or Proctor & Gamble (WO 94/17166) for the 103 rejection.

As stated above, Hague teaches a composition suitable for cleansing and conditioning of the skin or hair. See Col. 2, lines 21-24. As to the ingredients, Hague recites a isethionate surfactant (Col. 4, lines 66-67); sulphosuccinate (Col. 5, lines 12-17); fatty alcohol (Col. 3, lines 45-47); zantham gum (Col. 4, lines 45-49); and silicone oils (Col. 3, lines 38-40). The surfactant component is present in an amount of 2 to 40% and the balance of water for the dispersion overlaps that of the present invention. See Col. 8, lines 15-40. The dispersion is formed by adding the surfactant composition to water at 50°C. Id.

Serial Number: 08/930,702 Page 3
Weitzel et al. Art Unit: 1615

Hague is silent as to the state (i.e solid, liquid or semi-solid) of the surfactant portion, prior to its combination with the remaining ingredients (oil and water). However, it is noted that the present manufacturing examples at pages 10-11 (i.e. method 3), disclose an initial solid surfactant mixture/blend, but the solid is then heated to a liquid state before, the oil and water additives are added.

Similarly, Unilever teaches a personal foaming composition, comprising isethionates, sulphosuccinate, fatty alcohols, silicone oils, and polyethylene glycols. See pages 2-5. As to the present method claims, wherein the pre-formed surfactant portion is made, Unilever teaches that pre-mixes of the components may be made for purposes of convenience. See page 4. The applicants, in their response argue that the present method results in easier formulation, and therefore is patentably distinguishable over the prior art. However, it is the examiner's position that Unilever's statement regarding pre-mixing for convenience, renders applicants method obvious. It is the examiner's position that one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to pre-mix certain components for purposes of convenience and that such practice is routine in the art, and thereby obvious, absent a showing of criticality.

#### Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

Serial Number: 08/930,702

Weitzel et al.

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Brian K. Seidleck** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4448. The examiner can normally be reached **Monday through Friday from 7:00am to 4:00pm**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K. Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. The official fax numbers for Technology Center 1600 are (703) 305-3592 and (703) 308-4556. The unofficial fax number is (703) 308-7924.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those under 35 U.S.C. § 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the applicant and should be addressed to [thurman.page@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a possibility

Serial Number: 08/930,702

Page 5

Weitzel et al.

Art Unit: 1615

that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record includes a properly signed express waiver of confidentiality requirements of U.S.C. § 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG 89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235 or (703) 308-1234.

Brian K. Seidleck Patent Examiner February 8, 2000

THURMAN K. PAGE
UPERVISOR AS ENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600